Syntax: Meta-Programming Helpers

Version 4.2.3

December 1, 2009

Contents

1 Syntax Object Helpers

1.1 Deconstructing Syntax Objects

```
(require syntax/stx)
```

```
(stx-null? v) → boolean?
  v : any/c
```

Returns #t if `v` is either the empty list or a syntax object representing the empty list (i.e., `syntax-e` on the syntax object returns the empty list).

```
(stx-pair? v) → boolean?
  v : any/c
```

Returns #t if `v` is either a pair or a syntax object representing a pair (see syntax pair).

```
(stx-list? v) → boolean?
  v : any/c
```

Returns #t if `v` is a list, or if it is a sequence of pairs leading to a syntax object such that `syntax->list` would produce a list.

```
(stx->list stx-list) → list?
  stx-list : stx-list?
```

Produces a list by flatting out a trailing syntax object using `syntax->list`.

```
(stx-car v) → any
  v : stx-pair?
```

Takes the car of a syntax pair.

```
(stx-cdr v) → any
  v : stx-pair?
```

Takes the cdr of a syntax pair.

```
(module-or-top-identifier=? a-id b-id) → boolean?
  a-id : identifier?
```

```
b-id : identifier?
```

Returns `#t` if `a-id` and `b-id` are `free-identifier=?`, or if `a-id` and `b-id` have the same name (as extracted by `syntax-e`) and `a-id` has no binding other than at the top level.

This procedure is useful in conjunction with `syntax-case*` to match procedure names that are normally bound by MzScheme. For example, the `include` macro uses this procedure to recognize `build-path`; using `free-identifier=?` would not work well outside of `module`, since the top-level `build-path` is a distinct variable from the MzScheme export (though it's bound to the same procedure, initially).

1.2 Matching Fully-Expanded Expressions

```
(require syntax/kerncase)
```

```
(kernel-syntax-case stx-expr trans?-expr clause ...)
```

A syntactic form like `syntax-case*`, except that the literals are built-in as the names of the primitive PLT Scheme forms as exported by `scheme/base`; see §1.2.3.1 "Fully Expanded Programs".

The `trans?-expr` boolean expression replaces the comparison procedure, and instead selects simply between normal-phase comparisons or transformer-phase comparisons. The `clause`s are the same as in `syntax-case*`.

The primitive syntactic forms must have their normal bindings in the context of the `kernel-syntax-case` expression. Beware that `kernel-syntax-case` does not work in a module whose language is `mzscheme`, since the binding of `if` from `mzscheme` is different than the primitive `if`.

```
(kernel-syntax-case* stx-expr trans?-expr (extra-id ...) clause ...)
```

A syntactic form like `kernel-syntax-case`, except that it takes an additional list of extra literals that are in addition to the primitive PLT Scheme forms.

```
(kernel-syntax-case/phase stx-expr phase-expr clause ...)
```

Generalizes `kernel-syntax-case` to work at an arbitrary phase level, as indicated by `phase-expr`.

```
(kernel-syntax-case*/phase stx-expr phase-expr (extra-id ..)
  clause ...)
```

Generalizes `kernel-syntax-case*` to work at an arbitrary phase level, as indicated by *phase-expr*.

`(kernel-form-identifier-list)` → `(listof identifier?)`

Returns a list of identifiers that are bound normally, `for-syntax`, and `for-template` to the primitive PLT Scheme forms for expressions, internal-definition positions, and module-level and top-level positions. This function is useful for generating a list of stopping points to provide to `local-expand`.

In addition to the identifiers listed in §1.2.3.1 "Fully Expanded Programs", the list includes `letrec-syntaxes+values`, which is the core form for local expand-time binding and can appear in the result of `local-expand`.

1.3   Hashing on `bound-identifier=?` and `free-identifier=?`

See also `syntax/id-table` for an implementation of identifier mappings using the `scheme/dict` dictionary interface.

```
(require syntax/boundmap)
```

`(make-bound-identifier-mapping)` → `bound-identifier-mapping?`

Produces a hash-table-like value for storing a mapping from syntax identifiers to arbitrary values.

The mapping uses `bound-identifier=?` to compare mapping keys, but also uses a hash table based on symbol equality to make the mapping efficient in the common case (i.e., where non-equivalent identifiers are derived from different symbolic names).

`(bound-identifier-mapping? v)` → `boolean?`
  `v : any/c`

Returns `#t` if *v* was produced by `make-bound-identifier-mapping`, `#f` otherwise.

```
(bound-identifier-mapping-get  bound-map
                               id
                               [failure-thunk]) → any
  bound-map : bound-identifier-mapping?
  id : identifier?
  failure-thunk : any/c
                = (lambda () (raise (make-exn:fail ....)))
```

Like `hash-table-get` for bound-identifier mappings.

```
(bound-identifier-mapping-put! bound-map
                               id
                               v)          → void?
  bound-map : bound-identifier-mapping?
  id : identifier?
  v : any/c
```

Like `hash-table-put!` for bound-identifier mappings.

```
(bound-identifier-mapping-for-each bound-map
                                   proc)     → void?
  bound-map : boud-identifier-mapping?
  proc : (identifier? any/c . -> . any)
```

Like `hash-table-for-each`.

```
(bound-identifier-mapping-map bound-map
                              proc)     → (listof any?)
  bound-map : bound-identifier-mapping?
  proc : (identifier? any/c . -> . any)
```

Like `hash-table-map`.

`(make-free-identifier-mapping)` → `free-identifier-mapping?`

Produces a hash-table-like value for storing a mapping from syntax identifiers to arbitrary values.

The mapping uses `free-identifier=?` to compare mapping keys, but also uses a hash table based on symbol equality to make the mapping efficient in the common case (i.e., where non-equivalent identifiers are derived from different symbolic names at their definition sites).

`(free-identifier-mapping? v)` → `boolean?`
  `v` : `any/c`

Returns `#t` if `v` was produced by `make-free-identifier-mapping`, `#f` otherwise.

```
(free-identifier-mapping-get  free-map
                              id
                              [failure-thunk]) → any
```

```
  free-map : free-identifier-mapping?
  id : identifier?
  failure-thunk : any/c
               = (lambda () (raise (make-exn:fail ....)))
```

Like `hash-table-get` for free-identifier mappings.

```
(free-identifier-mapping-put! free-map id v) → void?
  free-map : free-identifier-mapping?
  id : identifier?
  v : any/c
```

Like `hash-table-put!` for free-identifier mappings.

```
(free-identifier-mapping-for-each free-map
                                  proc)    → void?
  free-map : free-identifier-mapping?
  proc : (identifier? any/c . -> . any)
```

Like `hash-table-for-each`.

```
(free-identifier-mapping-map free-map proc) → (listof any?)
  free-map : free-identifier-mapping?
  proc : (identifier? any/c . -> . any)
```

Like `hash-table-map`.

```
(make-module-identifier-mapping) → module-identifier-mapping?
(module-identifier-mapping? v) → boolean?
  v : any/c
(module-identifier-mapping-get  module-map
                                id
                                [failure-thunk]) → any
  module-map : module-identifier-mapping?
  id : identifier?
  failure-thunk : any/c
               = (lambda () (raise (make-exn:fail ....)))
(module-identifier-mapping-put! module-map
                                id
                                v)          → void?
  module-map : module-identifier-mapping?
  id : identifier?
  v : any/c
```

```
(module-identifier-mapping-for-each module-map
                                    proc)      → void?
  module-map : module-identifier-mapping?
  proc : (identifier? any/c . -> . any)
(module-identifier-mapping-map module-map
                               proc)      → (listof any?)
  module-map : module-identifier-mapping?
  proc : (identifier? any/c . -> . any)
```

The same as `make-free-identifier-mapping`, etc.

1.4   Identifier dictionaries

```
(require syntax/id-table)
```

This module provides functionality like that of `syntax/boundmap` but with more operations, standard names, implementation of the `scheme/dict` interface, and immutable (functionally-updating) variants.

1.4.1   Dictionaries for `bound-identifier=?`

Bound-identifier tables implement the dictionary interface of `scheme/dict`. Consequently, all of the appropriate generic functions (`dict-ref`, `dict-map`, etc) can be used on free-identifier tables.

```
(make-bound-id-table [init-dict]) → mutable-bound-id-table?
  init-dict : dict? = null
(make-immutable-bound-id-table [init-dict])
 → immutable-bound-id-table?
  init-dict : dict? = null
```

Produces a dictionary mapping syntax identifiers to arbitrary values. The mapping uses `bound-identifier=?` to compare keys, but also uses a hash table based on symbol equality to make the mapping efficient in the common case. The two procedures produce mutable and immutable dictionaries, respectively.

The optional *init-dict* argument provides the initial mappings. It must be a dictionary, and its keys must all be identifiers. If the *init-dict* dictionary has multiple distinct entries whose keys are `bound-identifier=?`, only one of the entries appears in the new id-table, and it is not specified which entry is picked.

```
(bound-id-table? v) → boolean?
```

10

```
v : any/c
```

Returns `#t` if *v* was produced by `make-bound-id-table` or `make-immutable-bound-id-table`, `#f` otherwise.

```
(mutable-bound-id-table? v) → boolean?
  v : any/c
(immutable-bound-id-table? v) → boolean?
  v : any/c
```

Predicate for the mutable and immutable variants of bound-identifier tables, respectively.

```
(bound-id-table-ref table id [failure]) → any
  table : bound-id-table?
  id : identifier?
  failure : any/c = (lambda () (raise (make-exn:fail .....)))
```

Like `hash-ref` for bound identifier tables. In particular, if *id* is not found, the *failure* argument is applied if it is a procedure, or simply returned otherwise.

```
(bound-id-table-set! table id v) → void?
  table : mutable-bound-id-table?
  id : identifier?
  v : any/c
```

Like `hash-set!` for mutable bound-identifier tables.

```
(bound-id-table-set table id v) → immutable-bound-id-table?
  table : immutable-bound-id-table?
  id : identifier?
  v : any/c
```

Like `hash-set` for immutable bound-identifier tables.

```
(bound-id-table-remove! table id) → void?
  table : mutable-bound-id-table?
  id : identifier?
```

Like `hash-remove!` for mutable bound-identifier tables.

```
(bound-id-table-remove table id v) → immutable-bound-id-table?
  table : immutable-bound-id-table?
  id : identifier?
```

```
  v : any/c
```

Like `hash-remove` for immutable bound-identifier tables.

```
(bound-id-table-map table proc) → list?
  table : bound-id-table?
  proc : (-> identifier? any/c any)
```

Like `hash-map` for bound-identifier tables.

```
(bound-id-table-for-each table proc) → void?
  table : bound-id-table?
  proc : (-> identifier? any/c any)
```

Like `hash-for-each` for bound-identifier tables.

```
(bound-id-table-count table) → exact-nonnegative-integer?
  table : bound-id-table?
```

Like `hash-count` for bound-identifier tables.

1.4.2   Dictionaries for `free-identifier=?`

Free-identifier tables implement the dictionary interface of `scheme/dict`. Consequently, all of the appropriate generic functions (`dict-ref`, `dict-map`, etc) can be used on free-identifier tables.

```
(make-free-id-table [init-dict]) → mutable-free-id-table?
  init-dict : dict? = null
(make-immutable-free-id-table [init-dict])
 → immutable-free-id-table?
  init-dict : dict? = null
(free-id-table? v) → boolean?
  v : any/c
(mutable-free-id-table? v) → boolean?
  v : any/c
(immutable-free-id-table? v) → boolean?
  v : any/c
(free-id-table-ref table id [failure]) → any
  table : free-id-table?
  id : identifier?
  failure : any/c = (lambda () (raise (make-exn:fail .....)))
```

```
(free-id-table-set! table id v) → void?
  table : mutable-free-id-table?
  id : identifier?
  v : any/c
(free-id-table-set table id v) → immutable-free-id-table?
  table : immutable-free-id-table?
  id : identifier?
  v : any/c
(free-id-table-remove! table id) → void?
  table : mutable-free-id-table?
  id : identifier?
(free-id-table-remove table id v) → immutable-free-id-table?
  table : immutable-free-id-table?
  id : identifier?
  v : any/c
(free-id-table-map table proc) → list?
  table : free-id-table?
  proc : (-> identifier? any/c any)
(free-id-table-for-each table proc) → void?
  table : free-id-table?
  proc : (-> identifier? any/c any)
(free-id-table-count table) → exact-nonnegative-integer?
  table : free-id-table?
```

Like the procedures for bound-identifier tables (`make-bound-id-table`, `bound-id-table-ref`, etc), but for free-identifier tables, which use `free-identifier=?` to compare keys.

1.5   Rendering Syntax Objects with Formatting

```
(require syntax/to-string)
```

```
(syntax->string stx-list) → string?
  stx-list : stx-list?
```

Builds a string with newlines and indenting according to the source locations in `stx-list`; the outer pair of parens are not rendered from `stx-list`.

1.6   Computing the Free Variables of an Expression

```
(require syntax/free-vars)
```

```
(free-vars expr-stx) → (listof identifier?)
  expr-stx : syntax?
```

Returns a list of free `lambda`- and `let`-bound identifiers in `expr-stx`. The expression must be fully expanded (see §1.2.3.1 "Fully Expanded Programs" and `expand`).

1.7   Replacing Lexical Context

```
(require syntax/strip-context)
```

```
(strip-context stx) → syntax?
  stx : syntax?
```

Removes all lexical context from `stx`, preserving source-location information and properties.

```
(replace-context ctx-stx stx) → syntax?
  ctx-stx : (or/c syntax? #f)
  stx : syntax?
```

Uses the lexical context of `ctx-stx` to replace the lexical context of all parts of `stx`, preserving source-location information and properties of `stx`.

1.8   Helpers for Processing Keyword Syntax

The `syntax/keyword` module contains procedures for parsing keyword options in macros.

```
(require syntax/keyword)
```

```
keyword-table = (dict-of keyword (listof check-procedure))
```

A keyword-table is a dictionary (`dict?`) mapping keywords to lists of check-procedures. (Note that an association list is a suitable dictionary.) The keyword's arity is the length of the list of procedures.

Example:
```
> (define my-keyword-table
    (list (list '#:a check-identifier)
          (list '#:b check-expression check-expression)))
```

```
check-procedure = (syntax syntax -> any)
```

A check procedure consumes the syntax to check and a context syntax object for error reporting and either raises an error to reject the syntax or returns a value as its parsed representation.

Example:
```
> (define (check-stx-string stx context-stx)
    (unless (string? (syntax-e stx))
      (raise-syntax-error #f "expected string" context-stx stx))
    stx)
```

options = (*listof* (*list keyword syntax-keyword any ...*))

Parsed options are represented as an list of option entries. Each entry contains the keyword, the syntax of the keyword (for error reporting), and the list of parsed values returned by the keyword's list of check procedures. The list contains the parsed options in the order they appeared in the input, and a keyword that occurs multiple times in the input occurs multiple times in the options list.

```
(parse-keyword-options  stx
                        table
                       [#:context ctx
                        #:no-duplicates? no-duplicates?
                        #:incompatible incompatible
                        #:on-incompatible incompatible-handler
                        #:on-too-short too-short-handler
                        #:on-not-in-table not-in-table-handler])
 → options any/c
 stx : syntax?
 table : keyword-table
 ctx : (or/c false/c syntax?) = #f
 no-duplicates? : boolean? = #f
 incompatible : (listof (listof keyword?)) = '()
 incompatible-handler :  (-> keyword? keyword?
                             options syntax? syntax?
                             (values options syntax?))
                      = (lambda (....) (error ....))
 too-short-handler :  (-> keyword? options syntax? syntax?
                          (values options syntax?))
                    = (lambda (....) (error ....))
 not-in-table-handler :  (-> keyword? options syntax? syntax?
                             (values options syntax?))
                       = (lambda (....) (error ....))
```

Parses the keyword options in the syntax *stx* (*stx* may be an improper syntax list). The keyword options are described in the `table` association list. Each entry in `table` should be a list whose first element is a keyword and whose subsequent elements are procedures for

checking the arguments following the keyword. The keyword's arity (number of arguments) is determined by the number of procedures in the entry. Only fixed-arity keywords are supported.

Parsing stops normally when the syntax list does not have a keyword at its head (it may be empty, start with a non-keyword term, or it may be a non-list syntax object). Two values are returned: the parsed options and the rest of the syntax (generally either a syntax object or a list of syntax objects).

A variety of errors and exceptional conditions can occur during the parsing process. The following keyword arguments determine the behavior in those situations.

The `#:context` `ctx` argument is used to report all errors in parsing syntax. In addition, `ctx` is passed as the final argument to all provided handler procedures. Macros using `parse-keyword-options` should generally pass the syntax object for the whole macro use as `ctx`.

If `no-duplicates?` is a non-false value, then duplicate keyword options are not allowed. If a duplicate is seen, the keyword's associated check procedures are not called and an incompatibility is reported.

The `incompatible` argument is a list of incompatibility entries, where each entry is a list of *at least two* keywords. If any keyword in the entry occurs after any other keyword in the entry, an incompatibility is reported.

Note that including a keyword in an incompatibility entry does not prevent it from occurring multiple times. To disallow duplicates of some keywords (as opposed to all keywords), include those keywords in the `incompatible` list as being incompatible with themselves. That is, include them twice:

```
; Disallow duplicates of only the #:foo keyword
(parse-keyword-options .... #:incompatible '((#:foo #:foo)))
```

When an *incompatibility* occurs, the `incompatible-handler` is tail-called with the two keywords causing the incompatibility (in the order that they occurred in the syntax list, so the keyword triggering the incompatibility occurs second), the syntax list starting with the occurrence of the second keyword, and the context (`ctx`). If the incompatibility is due to a duplicate, the two keywords are the same.

When a keyword is not followed by enough arguments according to its arity in `table`, the `too-short-handler` is tail-called with the keyword, the options parsed thus far, the syntax list starting with the occurrence of the keyword, and `ctx`.

When a keyword occurs in the syntax list that is not in `table`, the `not-in-table-handler` is tail-called with the keyword, the options parsed thus far, the syntax list starting with the occurrence of the keyword, and `ctx`.

Handlers typically escape—all of the default handlers raise errors—but if they return, they should return two values: the parsed options and a syntax object; these are returned as the results of `parse-keyword-options`.

Examples:
```
> (parse-keyword-options
   #'(#:transparent #:property p (lambda (x) (f x)))
   (list (list '#:transparent)
         (list '#:inspector check-expression)
         (list '#:property check-expression check-expression)))
((#:transparent #<syntax:3:0 #:transparent>) (#:property
#<syntax:3:0 #:property> #<syntax:3:0 p> #<syntax:3:0 (lambda (x)
(f x))>))
()
> (parse-keyword-options
   #'(#:transparent #:inspector (make-inspector))
   (list (list '#:transparent)
         (list '#:inspector check-expression)
         (list '#:property check-expression check-expression))
   #:context #'define-struct
   #:incompatible '((#:transparent #:inspector)
                    (#:inspector #:inspector)
                    (#:inspector #:inspector)))
eval:4:0: define-struct: #:inspector option not allowed
after #:transparent option at: #:inspector in: define-struct
```

```
(parse-keyword-options/eol
  stx
  table
 [#:context ctx
  #:no-duplicates? no-duplicates?
  #:incompatible incompatible
  #:on-incompatible incompatible-handler
  #:on-too-short too-short-handler
  #:on-not-in-table not-in-table-handler
  #:on-not-eol not-eol-handler])
→ options
 stx : syntax?
 table : keyword-table
 ctx : (or/c false/c syntax?) = #f
 no-duplicates? : boolean? = #f
 incompatible : (listof (list keyword? keyword?)) = '()
```

17

```
incompatible-handler : (-> keyword? keyword?
                            options syntax? syntax?
                            (values options syntax?))
                     = (lambda (....) (error ....))
too-short-handler : (-> keyword? options syntax? syntax?
                        (values options syntax?))
                  = (lambda (....) (error ....))
not-in-table-handler : (-> keyword? options syntax? syntax?
                           (values options syntax?))
                     = (lambda (....) (error ....))
not-eol-handler : (-> options syntax? syntax?
                      options)
                = (lambda (....) (error ....))
```

Like `parse-keyword-options`, but checks that there are no terms left over after parsing all of the keyword options. If there are, *not-eol-handler* is tail-called with the options parsed thus far, the leftover syntax, and *ctx*.

```
(options-select options keyword) → (listof list?)
  options : options
  keyword : keyword?
```

Selects the values associated with one keyword from the parsed options. The resulting list has as many items as there were occurrences of the keyword, and each element is a list whose length is the arity of the keyword.

```
(options-select-row options
                    keyword
                    #:default default) → any
  options : options
  keyword : keyword?
  default : any/c
```

Like `options-select`, except that the given keyword must occur either zero or one times in *options*. If the keyword occurs, the associated list of parsed argument values is returned. Otherwise, the *default* list is returned.

```
(options-select-value options
                       keyword
                       #:default default) → any
  options : options
  keyword : keyword?
  default : any/c
```

18

Like `options-select`, except that the given keyword must occur either zero or one times in *options*. If the keyword occurs, the associated list of parsed argument values must have exactly one element, and that element is returned. If the keyword does not occur in *options*, the *default* value is returned.

```
(check-identifier stx ctx) → identifier?
  stx : syntax?
  ctx : (or/c false/c syntax?)
```

A check-procedure that accepts only identifiers.

```
(check-expression stx ctx) → syntax?
  stx : syntax?
  ctx : (or/c false/c syntax?)
```

A check-procedure that accepts any non-keyword term. It does not actually check that the term is a valid expression.

```
((check-stx-listof check) stx ctx) → (listof any/c)
  check : check-procedure
  stx : syntax?
  ctx : (or/c false/c syntax?)
```

Lifts a check-procedure to accept syntax lists of whatever the original procedure accepted.

```
(check-stx-string stx ctx) → syntax?
  stx : syntax?
  ctx : (or/c false/c syntax?)
```

A check-procedure that accepts syntax strings.

1.9   Legacy Zodiac Interface

```
(require syntax/zodiac)
(require syntax/zodiac-unit)
(require syntax/zodiac-sig)
```

The interface is similar to Zodiac—enough to be useful for porting—but different in many ways. See the source `"zodiac-sig.ss"` for details. New software should not use this compatibility layer.

2 Module-Processing Helpers

2.1 Reading Module Source Code

```
(require syntax/modread)
```

```
(with-module-reading-parameterization thunk) → any
  thunk : (-> any)
```

Calls *thunk* with all reader parameters reset to their default values.

```
(check-module-form stx
                   expected-module-sym
                   source-v)
 → (or/c syntax? false/c)
  stx : (or/c syntax? eof-object?)
  expected-module-sym : symbol?
  source-v : (or/c string? false/c)
```

Inspects *stx* to check whether evaluating it will declare a module named *expected-module-sym*—at least if `module` is bound in the top-level to MzScheme's `module`. The syntax object *stx* can contain a compiled expression. Also, *stx* can be an end-of-file, on the grounds that `read-syntax` can produce an end-of-file.

If *stx* can declare a module in an appropriate top-level, then the `check-module-form` procedure returns a syntax object that certainly will declare a module (adding explicit context to the leading `module` if necessary) in any top-level. Otherwise, if *source-v* is not `#f`, a suitable exception is raised using the `write` form of the source in the message; if *source-v* is `#f`, `#f` is returned.

If *stx* is eof or eof wrapped as a syntax object, then an error is raised or `#f` is returned.

2.2 Getting Module Compiled Code

```
(require syntax/modcode)
```

```
(get-module-code  module-path-v
                  [#:sub-path compiled-subdir0
                   compiled-subdir
                   #:compile compile-proc0
                   compile-proc
                   #:extension-handler ext-proc0
                   ext-proc
                   #:choose choose-proc
                   #:notify notify-proc
                   #:src-reader read-syntax-proc]) → any
  module-path-v : module-path?
  compiled-subdir0 : (and/c path-string? relative-path?)
                   = "compiled"
  compiled-subdir : (and/c path-string? relative-path?)
                   = compiled-subdir0
  compile-proc0 : (any/c . -> . any) = compile
  compile-proc : (any/c . -> . any) = compile-proc0
  ext-proc0 : (or/c false/c (path? boolean? . -> . any)) = #f
  ext-proc : (or/c false/c (path? boolean? . -> . any))
           = ext-proc0
  choose-proc : (path? path? path?
                 . -> .
                 (or/c (symbols 'src 'zo 'so) false/c))
              = (lambda (src zo so) #f)
  notify-proc : (any/c . -> . any) = void
  read-syntax-proc : (any/c input-port? . -> . syntax?)
                   = read-syntax
```

Returns a compiled expression for the declaration of the module specified by *module-path-v*.

The *compiled-subdir* argument defaults to `"compiled"`; it specifies the sub-directory to search for a compiled version of the module.

The *compile-proc* argument defaults to `compile`. This procedure is used to compile module source if an already-compiled version is not available.

The *ext-proc* argument defaults to `#f`. If it is not `#f`, it must be a procedure of two arguments that is called when a native-code version of `path` is should be used. In that case, the arguments to *ext-proc* are the path for the extension, and a boolean indicating whether the extension is a `_loader` file (`#t`) or not (`#f`).

The *choose-proc* argument is a procedure that takes three paths: a source path, a `".zo"` file path, and an extension path (for a non-`_loader` extension). Some of the paths may not exist. The result should be either `'src`, `'zo`, `'so`, or `#f`, indicating which variant should be

used or (in the case of `#f`) that the default choice should be used.

The default choice is computed as follows: if a ".zo" version of `path` is available and newer than `path` itself (in one of the directories specified by *compiled-subdir*), then it is used instead of the source. Native-code versions of `path` are ignored, unless only a native-code non-`_loader` version exists (i.e., `path` itself does not exist). A `_loader` extension is selected a last resort.

If an extension is prefered or is the only file that exists, it is supplied to *ext-proc* when *ext-proc* is `#f`, or an exception is raised (to report that an extension file cannot be used) when *ext-proc* is `#f`.

If *notify-proc* is supplied, it is called for the file (source, ".zo" or extension) that is chosen.

If *read-syntax-proc* is provided, it is used to read the module from a source file (but not from a bytecode file).

```
(moddep-current-open-input-file)
 → (path-string? . -> . input-port?)
(moddep-current-open-input-file proc) → void?
  proc : (path-string? . -> . input-port?)
```

A parameter whose value is used like `open-input-file` to read a module source or ".zo" file.

```
(struct (exn:get-module-code exn) (path))
  path : path?
```

An exception structure type for exceptions raised by `get-module-code`.

2.3   Resolving Module Paths to File Paths

```
(require syntax/modresolve)
```

```
(resolve-module-path module-path-v
                      rel-to-path-v) → path?
  module-path-v : module-path?
  rel-to-path-v : (or/c path-string? (-> any) false/c)
```

Resolves a module path to filename path. The module path is resolved relative to *rel-to-path-v* if it is a path string (assumed to be for a file), to the directory result of calling the thunk if it is a thunk, or to the current directory otherwise.

```
(resolve-module-path-index module-path-index
                           rel-to-path-v)    → path?
  module-path-index : module-path-index?
  rel-to-path-v : (or/c path-string? (-> any) false/c)
```

Like `resolve-module-path` but the input is a module path index; in this case, the *rel-to-path-v* base is used where the module path index contains the "self" index. If *module-path-index* depends on the "self" module path index, then an exception is raised unless *rel-to-path-v* is a path string.

2.4   Simplifying Module Paths

```
(require syntax/modcollapse)
```

```
(collapse-module-path module-path-v
                      rel-to-module-path-v)
 → (or/c path? module-path?)
  module-path-v : module-path?
  rel-to-module-path-v : any/c
```

Returns a "simplified" module path by combining *module-path-v* with *rel-to-module-path-v*, where the latter must have the form `'(lib ....)` or a symbol, `'(file <string>)`, `'(planet ....)`, a path, or a thunk to generate one of those.

The result can be a path if *module-path-v* contains a path element that is needed for the result, or if *rel-to-module-path-v* is a non-string path that is needed for the result; otherwise, the result is a module path in the sense of `module-path?`.

When the result is a `'lib` or `'planet` module path, it is normalized so that equivalent module paths are represented by `equal?` results.

```
(collapse-module-path-index module-path-index
                            rel-to-module-path-v)
 → (or/c path? module-path?)
  module-path-index : module-path-index?
  rel-to-module-path-v : any/c
```

Like `collapse-module-path`, but the input is a module path index; in this case, the *rel-to-module-path-v* base is used where the module path index contains the "self" index.

2.5 Inspecting Modules and Module Dependencies

```
(require syntax/moddep)
```

Re-exports `syntax/modread`, `syntax/modcode`, `syntax/modcollapse`, and `syntax/modresolve`, in addition to the following:

```
(show-import-tree module-path-v) → void?
  module-path-v : module-path?
```

A debugging aid that prints the import hierarchy starting from a given module path.

3   Macro Transformer Helpers

3.1   Extracting Inferred Names

```
(require syntax/name)
```

```
(syntax-local-infer-name stx) → any/c
  stx : syntax?
```

Similar to `syntax-local-name` except that `stx` is checked for an `'inferred-name` property (which overrides any inferred name). If neither `syntax-local-name` nor `'inferred-name` produce a name, then a name is constructed from the source-location information in `stx`, if any. If no name can be constructed, the result is `#f`.

3.2   Support for `local-expand`

```
(require syntax/context)
```

```
(build-expand-context v) → list?
  v : (or/c symbol? list?)
```

Returns a list suitable for use as a context argument to `local-expand` for an internal-definition context. The `v` argument represents the immediate context for expansion. The context list builds on (`syntax-local-context`) if it is a list.

```
(generate-expand-context) → list?
```

Calls `build-expand-context` with a generated symbol.

3.3   Parsing `define`-like Forms

```
(require syntax/define)
```

```
(normalize-definition  defn-stx
                       lambda-id-stx
                       [check-context?
                        opt+kws?])      → identifier? syntax?
  defn-stx : syntax?
  lambda-id-stx : identifier?
```

```
check-context? : boolean? = #t
opt+kws? : boolean? = #t
```

Takes a definition form whose shape is like `define` (though possibly with a different name) and returns two values: the defined identifier and the right-hand side expression.

To generate the right-hand side, this function may need to insert uses of `lambda`. The *lambda-id-stx* argument provides a suitable `lambda` identifier.

If the definition is ill-formed, a syntax error is raised. If *check-context?* is true, then a syntax error is raised if `(syntax-local-context)` indicates that the current context is an expression context. The default value of *check-context?* is `#t`.

If `opt-kws?` is `#t`, then arguments of the form `[id expr]`, `keyword id`, and `keyword [id expr]` are allowed, and they are preserved in the expansion.

3.4   Flattening `begin` Forms

```
(require syntax/flatten-begin)
```

```
(flatten-begin stx) → (listof syntax?)
  stx : syntax?
```

Extracts the sub-expressions from a `begin`-like form, reporting an error if *stx* does not have the right shape (i.e., a syntax list). The resulting syntax objects have annotations transferred from *stx* using `syntax-track-origin`.

3.5   Expanding `define-struct`-like Forms

```
(require syntax/struct)
```

```
(parse-define-struct stx orig-stx) → identifier?
                                     (or/c identifier? false/c)
                                     (listof identifier?)
                                     syntax?
  stx : syntax?
  orig-stx : syntax?
```

Parses *stx* as a `define-struct` form, but uses *orig-stx* to report syntax errors (under the assumption that *orig-stx* is the same as *stx*, or that they at least share sub-forms). The result is four values: an identifier for the struct type name, a identifier or #f for the super-name, a list of identifiers for fields, and a syntax object for the inspector expression.

```
(build-struct-names  name-id
                     field-ids
                     omit-sel?
                     omit-set?
                     [src-stx])  →  (listof identifier?)
  name-id : identifier?
  field-ids : (listof identifier?)
  omit-sel? : boolean?
  omit-set? : boolean?
  src-stx : (or/c syntax? false/c) = #f
```

Generates the names bound by define-struct given an identifier for the struct type name and a list of identifiers for the field names. The result is a list of identifiers:

- struct:*name-id*

- make-*name-id*

- *name-id*?

- *name-id-field*, for each *field* in *field-ids*.

- set-*name-id-field*! (getter and setter names alternate).

- ....

If *omit-sel?* is true, then the selector names are omitted from the result list. If *omit-set?* is true, then the setter names are omitted from the result list.

The default *src-stx* is #f; it is used to provide a source location to the generated identifiers.

```
(build-struct-generation  name-id
                          field-ids
                          omit-sel?
                          omit-set?
                          [super-type
                          prop-value-list
                          immutable-k-list])
 →  (listof identifier?)
  name-id : identifier?
  field-ids : (listof identifier?)
  omit-sel? : boolean?
  omit-set? : boolean?
  super-type : any/c = #f
  prop-value-list : list? = empty
```

27

```
immutable-k-list : list? = empty
```

Takes the same arguments as `build-struct-names` and generates an S-expression for code using `make-struct-type` to generate the structure type and return values for the identifiers created by `build-struct-names`. The optional *super-type*, *prop-value-list*, and *immutable-k-list* parameters take S-expression values that are used as the corresponding arguments to `make-struct-type`.

```
(build-struct-generation*  all-name-ids
                           name-id
                           field-ids
                           omit-sel?
                           omit-set?
                          [super-type
                           prop-value-list
                           immutable-k-list])
 → (listof identifier?)
  all-name-ids : (listof identifier?)
  name-id : identifier?
  field-ids : (listof identifier?)
  omit-sel? : boolean?
  omit-set? : boolean?
  super-type : any/c = #f
  prop-value-list : list? = empty
  immutable-k-list : list? = empty
```

Like `build-struct-generation`, but given the names produced by `build-struct-names`, instead of re-generating them.

```
(build-struct-expand-info name-id
                          field-ids
                          omit-sel?
                          omit-set?
                          base-name
                          base-getters
                          base-setters) → any
  name-id : identifier?
  field-ids : (listof identifier?)
  omit-sel? : boolean?
  omit-set? : boolean?
  base-name : (or/c identifier? boolean?)
  base-getters : (listof (or/c identifier? false/c))
  base-setters : (listof (or/c identifier? false/c))
```

Takes the same arguments as `build-struct-names`, plus a parent identifier/`#t`/`#f` and a list of accessor and mutator identifiers (possibly ending in `#f`) for a parent type, and generates an S-expression for expansion-time code to be used in the binding for the structure name. A `#t` for the *base-name* means no super-type, `#f` means that the super-type (if any) is unknown, and an identifier indicates the super-type identifier.

```
(struct-declaration-info? v) → boolean?
  v : any/c
```

Returns `#t` if x has the shape of expansion-time information for structure type declarations, `#f` otherwise. See §4.6 "Structure Type Transformer Binding".

```
(generate-struct-declaration  orig-stx
                              name-id
                              super-id-or-false
                              field-id-list
                              current-context
                              make-make-struct-type
                             [omit-sel?
                              omit-set?])                → syntax?
  orig-stx : syntax?
  name-id : identifier?
  super-id-or-false : (or/c identifier? false/c)
  field-id-list : (listof identifier?)
  current-context : any/c
  make-make-struct-type : procedure?
  omit-sel? : boolean? = #f
  omit-set? : boolean? = #f
```

This procedure implements the core of a `define-struct` expansion.

The `generate-struct-declaration` procedure is called by a macro expander to generate the expansion, where the *name-id*, *super-id-or-false*, and *field-id-list* arguments provide the main parameters. The *current-context* argument is normally the result of `syntax-local-context`. The *orig-stx* argument is used for syntax errors. The optional *omit-sel?* and *omit-set?* arguments default to `#f`; a `#t` value suppresses definitions of field selectors or mutators, respectively.

The `make-struct-type` procedure is called to generate the expression to actually create the struct type. Its arguments are *orig-stx*, `name-id-stx`, `defined-name-stxes`, and `super-info`. The first two are as provided originally to `generate-struct-declaration`, the third is the set of names generated by `build-struct-names`, and the last is super-struct info obtained by resolving *super-id-or-false* when it is not `#f`, `#f` otherwise.

The result should be an expression whose values are the same as the result of `make-struct-type`. Thus, the following is a basic *make-make-struct-type*:

```
(lambda (orig-stx name-stx defined-name-stxes super-info)
  #`(make-struct-type '#,name-stx
                      #,(and super-info (list-ref super-info 0))
                      #,(/ (- (length defined-name-stxes) 3) 2)
                      0 #f))
```

but an actual *make-make-struct-type* will likely do more.

3.6 Resolving `include`-like Paths

```
(require syntax/path-spec)
```

```
(resolve-path-spec path-spec-stx
                   source-stx
                   expr-stx
                   build-path-stx) → complete-path?
  path-spec-stx : syntax?
  source-stx : syntax?
  expr-stx : syntax?
  build-path-stx : syntax?
```

Resolves the syntactic path specification *path-spec-stx* as for `include`.

The *source-stx* specifies a syntax object whose source-location information determines relative-path resolution. The *expr-stx* is used for reporting syntax errors. The *build-path-stx* is usually #'`build-path`; it provides an identifier to compare to parts of *path-spec-stx* to recognize the `build-path` keyword.

3.7 Controlling Syntax Templates

```
(require syntax/template)
```

```
(transform-template  template-stx
                     #:save save-proc
                     #:restore-stx restore-proc-stx
                    [#:leaf-save leaf-save-proc
                     #:leaf-restore-stx leaf-restore-proc-stx
                     #:leaf-datum-stx leaf-datum-proc-stx
                     #:pvar-save pvar-save-proc
                     #:pvar-restore-stx pvar-restore-stx
                     #:cons-stx cons-proc-stx
                     #:ellipses-end-stx ellipses-end-stx
                     #:constant-as-leaf? constant-as-leaf?])
 → syntax?
 template-stx : syntax?
 save-proc : (syntax? . -> . any/c)
 restore-proc-stx : syntax?
 leaf-save-proc : (syntax? . -> . any/c) = save-proc
 leaf-restore-proc-stx : syntax? = #'(lambda (data stx) stx)
 leaf-datum-proc-stx : syntax? = #'(lambda (v) v)
 pvar-save-proc : (identifier? . -> . any/c) = (lambda (x) #f)
 pvar-restore-stx : syntax? = #'(lambda (d stx) stx)
 cons-proc-stx : syntax? = cons
 ellipses-end-stx : syntax? = #'values
 constant-as-leaf? : boolean? = #f
```

Produces an representation of an expression similar to #'(syntax #,template-stx), but functions like *save-proc* can collect information that might otherwise be lost by syntax (such as properties when the syntax object is marshaled within bytecode), and run-time functions like the one specified by *restore-proc-stx* can use the saved information or otherwise process the syntax object that is generated by the template.

The *save-proc* is applied to each syntax object in the representation of the original template (i.e., in *template-stx*). If *constant-as-leaf?* is #t, then *save-proc* is applied only to syntax objects that contain at least one pattern variable in a sub-form. The result of *save-proc* is provided back as the first argument to *restore-proc-stx*, which indicates a function with a contract (-> any/c syntax any/c any/c); the second argument to *restore-proc-stx* is the syntax object that syntax generates, and the last argument is a datum that have been processed recursively (by functions such as *restore-proc-stx*) and that normally would be converted back to a syntax object using the second argument's context, source, and properties. Note that *save-proc* works at expansion time (with respect to the template form), while *restore-proc-stx* indicates a function that is called at run time (for the template form), and the data that flows from *save-proc* to *restore-proc-stx* crosses phases via quote.

The *leaf-save-proc* and *leaf-restore-proc-stx* procedures are analogous to *save-proc* and *restore-proc-stx*, but they are applied to leaves, so there is no third argument

for recursively processed sub-forms. The function indicated by *leaf-restore-proc-stx* should have the contract (-> any/c syntax? any/c).

The *leaf-datum-proc-stx* procedure is applied to leaves that are not syntax objects, which can happen because pairs and the empty list are not always individually wrapped as syntax objects. The function should have the contract (-> any/c any/c). When *constant-as-leaf?* is #f, the only possible argument to the procedure is null.

The pvar-save and *pvar-restore-stx* procedures are analogous to *save-proc* and *restore-proc-stx*, but they are applied to pattern variables. The *pvar-restore-stx* procedure should have the contract (-> any/c syntax? any/c), where the second argument corresponds to the substitution of the pattern variable.

The *cons-proc-stx* procedure is used to build intermediate pairs, including pairs passed to *restore-proc-stx* and pairs that do not correspond to syntax objects.

The *ellipses-end-stx* procedure is an extra filter on the syntax object that follows a sequence of . . . ellipses in the template. The procedure should have the contract (-> any/c any/c).

The following example illustrates a use of transform-template to implement a syntax/shape form that preserves the 'paren-shape property from the original template, even if the template code is marshaled within bytecode.

```
(define-for-syntax (get-shape-prop stx)
  (syntax-property stx 'paren-shape))

(define (add-shape-prop v stx datum)
  (syntax-property (datum->syntax stx datum stx stx stx)
                   'paren-shape
                   v))

(define-syntax (syntax/shape stx)
  (syntax-case stx ()
    [(_ tmpl)
     (transform-template #'tmpl
                         #:save get-shape-prop
                         #:restore-stx #'add-shape-prop)]))
```

4 Reader Helpers

4.1 Raising `exn:fail:read`

```
(require syntax/readerr)
```

```
(raise-read-error msg-string
                  source
                  line
                  col
                  pos
                  span)        → any
  msg-string : string?
  source : any/c
  line : (or/c number? false/c)
  col : (or/c number? false/c)
  pos : (or/c number? false/c)
  span : (or/c number? false/c)
```

Creates and raises an `exn:fail:read` exception, using *msg-string* as the base error message.

Source-location information is added to the error message using the last five arguments (if the `error-print-source-location` parameter is set to `#t`). The *source* argument is an arbitrary value naming the source location—usually a file path string. Each of the *line*, *pos* arguments is `#f` or a positive exact integer representing the location within `source-name` (as much as known), *col* is a non-negative exact integer for the source column (if known), and *span* is `#f` or a non-negative exact integer for an item range starting from the indicated position.

The usual location values should point at the beginning of whatever it is you were reading, and the span usually goes to the point the error was discovered.

```
(raise-read-eof-error msg-string
                      source
                      line
                      col
                      pos
                      span)        → any
  msg-string : string?
  source : any/c
  line : (or/c number? false/c)
  col : (or/c number? false/c)
```

```
  pos : (or/c number? false/c)
  span : (or/c number? false/c)
```

Like `raise-read-error`, but raises `exn:fail:read:eof` instead of `exn:fail:read`.

4.2   Module Reader

```
(require syntax/module-reader)
```

The `syntax/module-reader` language provides support for defining #lang readers. In its
simplest form, the only thing that is needed in the body of a `syntax/module-reader` is
the name of the module that will be used in the language position of read modules; using
keywords, the resulting readers can be customized in a number of ways.

```
(#%module-begin module-path)
(#%module-begin module-path reader-option ... body ....)
(#%module-begin                reader-option ... body ....)

reader-option = #:language      lang-expr
              | #:read          read-expr
              | #:read-syntax   read-syntax-expr
              | #:info          info-expr
              | #:wrapper1      wrapper1-expr
              | #:wrapper2      wrapper2-expr
              | #:whole-body-readers? whole?-expr
```

Causes a module written in the `syntax/module-reader` language to define and provide
`read` and `read-syntax` functions, making the module an implementation of a reader. In
particular, the exported reader functions read all S-expressions until an end-of-file, and pack-
age them into a new module in the `module-path` language.

That is, a module `something`/lang/reader implemented as

```
(module reader syntax/module-reader
  module-path)
```

creates a reader that converts #lang `something` into

```
(module name-id module-path
  ....)
```

where `name-id` is derived from the name of the port used by the reader.

For example, `scheme/base/lang/reader` is implemented as

```
(module reader syntax/module-reader
  scheme/base)
```

The reader functions can be customized in a number of ways, using keyword markers in the syntax of the reader module. A `#:read` and `#:read-syntax` keywords can be used to specify functions other than `read` and `read-syntax` to perform the reading. For example, you can implement a ***Honu*** reader using:

```
(module reader syntax/module-reader
  honu
  #:read read-honu
  #:read-syntax read-honu-syntax)
```

Similarly, the `#:info` keyword supplies a procedure to be used by a `get-info` export (see `read-language`). The procedure produced by *info-expr* should consume three arguments: a key value, a default result, and a default info-getting procedure (to be called with the key and default result for default handling). If `#:info` is not supplied, the default info-getting procedure is used.

You can also use the (optional) module *body* forms to provide more definitions that might be needed to implement your reader functions. For example, here is a case-insensitive reader for the `scheme/base` language:

```
(module reader syntax/module-reader
  scheme/base
  #:read (wrap read) #:read-syntax (wrap read-syntax)
  (define ((wrap reader) . args)
    (parameterize ([read-case-sensitive #f]) (apply reader args))))
```

In many cases, however, the standard `read` and `read-syntax` are fine, as long as you can customize the dynamic context they're invoked at. For this, `#:wrapper1` can specify a function that can control the dynamic context in which the reader functions are called. It should evaluate to a function that consumes a thunk and invokes it in the right context. Here is an alternative definition of the case-insensitive language using `#:wrapper1`:

```
(module reader syntax/module-reader
  scheme/base
  #:wrapper1 (lambda (t)
               (parameterize ([read-case-sensitive #f])
                 (t))))
```

Note that using a readtable, you can implement languages that are extensions of plain S-expressions.

In addition to this wrapper, there is also `#:wrapper2` that has more control over the resulting reader functions. If specified, this wrapper is handed the input port and a (one-argumet) reader function that expects the input port as an argument. This allows this wrapper to hand

a different port value to the reader function, for example, it can divert the read to use different file (if given a port that corresponds to a file). Here is the case-insensitive implemented using this option:

```
(module reader syntax/module-reader
  scheme/base
  #:wrapper2 (lambda (in r)
               (parameterize ([read-case-sensitive #f])
                 (r in))))
```

In some cases, the reader functions read the whole file, so there is no need to iterate them (e.g., Scribble's `read-inside` and `read-syntax-inside`). In these cases you can specify `#:whole-body-readers?` as `#t` — the readers are expected to return a list of expressions in this case.

In addition, the two wrappers can return a different value than the wrapped function. This introduces two more customization points for the resulting readers:

- The thunk that is passed to a `#:wrapper1` function reads the file contents and returns a list of read expressions (either syntax values or S-expressions). For example, the following reader defines a "language" that ignores the contents of the file, and simply reads files as if they were empty:

  ```
  (module ignored syntax/module-reader
    scheme/base
    #:wrapper1 (lambda (t) (t) '()))
  ```

  Note that it is still performing the read, otherwise the module loader will complain about extra expressions.

- The reader function that is passed to a `#:wrapper2` function returns the final reault of the reader (a module expression). You can return a different value, for example, making it use a different language module.

In some rare cases, it is more convenient to know whether a reader is invoked for a `read` or for a `read-syntax`. To accommodate these cases, both wrappers can accept an additional argument, and in this case, they will be handed a boolean value that indicates whether the reader is expected to read syntax (`#t`) or not (`#f`). For example, here is a reader that uses the scribble syntax, and the first datum in the file determines the actual language (which means that the library specification is effectively ignored):

```
(module reader syntax/module-reader
  -ignored-
  #:wrapper2
  (lambda (in rd stx?)
    (let* ([lang (read in)]
           [mod  (parameterize ([current-readtable
```

```
                                  (make-at-readtable)])
                       (rd in))]
                [mod  (if stx? mod (datum->syntax #f mod))]
                [r (syntax-case mod ()
                      [(module name lang* . body)
                       (with-syntax ([lang (datum->syntax
                                                #'lang* lang #'lang*)])
                          (syntax/loc mod (module name lang . body)))])])
           (if stx? r (syntax->datum r))))
      (require scribble/reader))
```

This ability to change the language position in the resulting module expression can be useful in cases such as the above, where the base language module is chosen based on the input. To make this more convenient, you can omit the *module-path* and instead specify it via a #:language expression. This expression can evaluate to a datum or syntax object that is used as a language, or it can evaluate to a thunk. In the latter case, the thunk is invoked to obtain such a datum before reading the module body begins, in a dynamic extent where current-input-port is the source input. A syntax object is converted using syntax->datum when a datum is needed (for read instead of read-syntax). Using #:language, the last example above can be written more concisely:

```
  (module reader syntax/module-reader
    #:language read
    #:wrapper2 (lambda (in rd stx?)
                  (parameterize ([current-readtable
                                      (make-at-readtable)])
                    (rd in)))
    (require scribble/reader))
```

```
(make-meta-reader  self-sym
                   path-desc-str
                   [#:read-spec read-spec]
                   module-path-parser
                   convert-read
                   convert-read-syntax
                   convert-get-info)
 → procedure? procedure? procedure?
  self-sym : symbol?
  path-desc-str : string?
  read-spec : (input-port? . -> . any/c) = (lambda (in) ....)
  module-path-parser : (any/c . -> . (or/c module-path? #f))
  convert-read : (procedure? . -> . procedure?)
  convert-read-syntax : (procedure? . -> . procedure?)
  convert-get-info : (procedure? . -> . procedure?)
```

Generates procedures suitable for export as `read` (see `read` and `#lang`), `read-syntax` (see `read-syntax` and `#lang`), and `get-info` (see `read-language` and `#lang`), respectively, where the procedures chains to another language that is specified in an input stream.

The generated functions expect a target language description in the input stream that is provided to `read-spec`. The default `read-spec` extracts a non-empty sequence of bytes after one or more space and tab bytes, stopping at the first whitespace byte or end-of-file (whichever is first), and it produces either such a byte string or `#f`. If `read-spec` produces `#f`, a reader exception is raised, and `path-desc-str` is used as a description of the expected language form in the error message.

The result of `read-spec` is converted to a module path using `module-path-parser`. If `module-path-parser` produces `#f`, a reader exception is raised in the same way as when `read-spec` produces a `#f`. The `planet` languages supply a `module-path-parser` that converts a byte string to a module path.

If loading the module produced by `module-path-parser` succeeds, then the loaded module's `read`, `read-syntax`, or `get-info` export is passed to `convert-read`, `convert-read-syntax`, or `convert-get-info`, respectively.

The procedures generated by `make-meta-reader` are not meant for use with the `syntax/module-reader` language; they are meant to be exported directly.

The `at-exp`, `reader`, and `planet` languages are implemented using this function.

The `reader` language supplies `read` for `read-spec`. The `at-exp` and `planet` languages use the default `read-spec`.

The `at-exp` language supplies `convert-read` and `convert-read-syntax` to add @-expression support to the current readtable before chaining to the given procedures.

```
(wrap-read-all mod-path
               in
               read
               mod-path-stx
               src
               line
               col
               pos)              → any/c
  mod-path : module-path?
  in : input-port?
  read : (input-port . -> . any/c)
  mod-path-stx : syntax?
  src : (or/c syntax? #f)
  line : number?
  col : number?
  pos : number?
```

This function is deprecated; the `syntax/module-reader` language can be adapted using the various keywords to arbitrary readers, and please use it instead.

Repeatedly calls `read` on `in` until an end of file, collecting the results in order into `lst`, and derives a `name-id` from `(object-name in)`. The last five arguments are used to construct the syntax object for the language position of the module. The result is roughly

38

```
`(module ,name-id ,mod-path ,@lst)
```

5 Non-Module Compilation And Expansion

```
(require syntax/toplevel)
```

```
(expand-syntax-top-level-with-compile-time-evals stx) → syntax?
  stx : syntax?
```

Expands `stx` as a top-level expression, and evaluates its compile-time portion for the benefit of later expansions.

The expander recognizes top-level `begin` expressions, and interleaves the evaluation and expansion of of the `begin` body, so that compile-time expressions within the `begin` body affect later expansions within the body. (In other words, it ensures that expanding a `begin` is the same as expanding separate top-level expressions.)

The `stx` should have a context already, possibly introduced with `namespace-syntax-introduce`.

```
(expand-top-level-with-compile-time-evals stx) → syntax?
  stx : syntax?
```

Like `expand-syntax-top-level-with-compile-time-evals`, but `stx` is first given context by applying `namespace-syntax-introduce` to it.

```
(expand-syntax-top-level-with-compile-time-evals/flatten stx)
 → (listof syntax?)
  stx : syntax?
```

Like `expand-syntax-top-level-with-compile-time-evals`, except that it returns a list of syntax objects, none of which have a `begin`. These syntax objects are the flattened out contents of any `begins` in the expansion of `stx`.

```
(eval-compile-time-part-of-top-level stx) → void?
  stx : syntax?
```

Evaluates expansion-time code in the fully expanded top-level expression represented by `stx` (or a part of it, in the case of `begin` expressions). The expansion-time code might affect the compilation of later top-level expressions. For example, if `stx` is a `require` expression, then `namespace-require/expansion-time` is used on each require specification in the form. Normally, this function is used only by `expand-top-level-with-compile-time-evals`.

```
(eval-compile-time-part-of-top-level/compile stx)
```

```
 →  (listof compiled-expression?)
  stx : syntax?
```

Like `eval-compile-time-part-of-top-level`, but the result is compiled code.

6   Trusting Standard Recertifying Transformers

```
(require syntax/trusted-xforms)
```

The `syntax/trusted-xforms` library has no exports. It exists only to require other modules that perform syntax transformations, where the other transformations must use `syntax-recertify`. An application that wishes to provide a less powerful code inspector to a sub-program should generally attach `syntax/trusted-xforms` to the sub-program's namespace so that things like the class system from `scheme/class` work properly.

7   Attaching Documentation to Exports

```
(require syntax/docprovide)
```

```
(provide-and-document doc-label-id doc-row ...)

doc-row = (section-string (name type-datum doc-string ...) ...)
        | (all-from prefix-id module-path doc-label-id)
        | (all-from-except prefix-id module-path doc-label-id id ...)

   name = id
        | (local-name-id external-name-id)
```

A form that exports names and records documentation information.

The `doc-label-id` identifier is used as a key for accessing the documentation through `lookup-documentation`. The actual documentation is organized into "rows", each with a section title.

A row has one of the following forms:

- `(section-string (name type-datum doc-string ...) ...)`

  Creates a documentation section whose title is `section-string`, and provides/documents each `name`. The `type-datum` is arbitrary, for use by clients that call `lookup-documentation`. The `doc-string`s are also arbitrary documentation information, usually concatenated by clients.

  A `name` is either an identifier or a renaming sequence (`local-name-id extenal-name-id`).

  Multiple rows with the same section name will be merged in the documentation output. The final order of sections matches the order of the first mention of each section.

- `(all-from prefix-id module-path doc-label-id)`

- `(all-from-except prefix-id module-path doc-label-id id ...)`

  Merges documentation and provisions from the specified module into the current one; the `prefix-id` is used to prefix the imports into the current module (so they can be re-exported). If `id`s are provided, the specified `id`s are not re-exported and their documentation is not merged.

```
(lookup-documentation module-path-v
                       label-sym)      → any
  module-path-v : module-path?
  label-sym : symbol?
```

43

Returns documentation for the specified module and label. The `module-path-v` argument is a quoted module path, like the argument to `dynamic-require`. The `label-sym` identifies a set of documentation using the symbol as a label identifier in `provide-and-document`.

8 Parsing and classifying syntax

The `syntax/parse` library provides a framework for describing and parsing syntax. Using `syntax/parse`, macro writers can define new syntactic categories, specify their legal syntax, and use them to write clear, concise, and robust macros. The library also provides a pattern-matching form, `syntax-parse`, which offers many improvements over `syntax-case`.

```
(require syntax/parse)
```

8.1 Quick Start

This section provides a rapid introduction to the `syntax/parse` library for the macro programmer.

To use `syntax-parse` to write a macro transformer, import it `for-syntax`:

```
(require (for-syntax syntax/parse))
```

For example, here is is a module that defines `mylet`, a macro that has the same behavior as the standard `let` form (including "named `let`"):

```
(module example scheme/base
  (require (for-syntax scheme/base syntax/parse))
  (define-syntax (mylet stx)
    (syntax-parse stx
      [(_ loop:id ((x:id e:expr) ...) . body)
       #'(letrec ([loop (lambda (x ...) . body)])
           (loop e ...))]
      [(_ ((x:id e:expr) ...) . body)
       #'((lambda (x ...) . body) e ...)])))
```

The macro is defined as a procedure that takes one argument, `stx`. The `syntax-parse` form is similar to `syntax-case`, except that there is no literals list between the syntax argument and the sequence of clauses.

Note: Remember not to put a literals list between the syntax argument and the clauses!

The patterns contain identifiers consisting of two parts separated by a colon character, such as `loop:id` or `e:expr`. These are pattern variables annotated with syntax classes. For example, `loop:id` is a pattern variable named `loop` with the syntax class id (identifier). Note that only the pattern variable part is used in the syntax template.

Syntax classes restrict what a pattern variable can match. Above, `loop` only matches an identifier, so the first clause only matches the "named-let" syntax. Syntax classes replace

some uses of `syntax-case`'s "fenders" or guard expressions. They also enable `syntax-parse` to automatically give specific error messages.

The `syntax/parse` library provides several built-in syntax classes (see §8.5 "Library syntax classes and literal sets" for a list). Programmers can also define their own using `define-syntax-class`:

```
(module example-syntax scheme/base
  (require syntax/parse)
  (provide binding)
  (define-syntax-class binding
    #:attributes (x e)
    (pattern (x:id e:expr))))

(module example scheme/base
  (require (for-syntax scheme/base
                       syntax/parse
                       'example-syntax))
  (define-syntax (mylet stx)
    (syntax-parse stx
      [(_ loop:id (b:binding ...) . body)
       #'(letrec ([loop (lambda (b.x ...) . body)])
           (loop b.e ...))]
      [(_ (b:binding ...) . body)
       #'((lambda (b.x ...) . body) b.e ...)])))
```

Note: Syntax classes must be defined in the same phase as the `syntax-parse` expression they're used in. The right-hand side of a macro is at phase 1, so syntax classes it uses must be defined in a separate module and required `for-syntax`. Since the auxiliary module uses `define-syntax-class` at phase 0, it has `(require syntax/parse)`, with no `for-syntax`.

Alternatively, the syntax class could be made a local definition, thus:

```
(module example scheme/base
  (require (for-syntax scheme/base
                       syntax/parse))
  (define-syntax (mylet stx)
    (define-syntax-class binding
      #:attributes (x e)
      (pattern (x:id e:expr)))
    (syntax-parse stx
      [(_ loop:id (b:binding ...) . body)
       #'(letrec ([loop (lambda (b.x ...) . body)])
           (loop b.e ...))]
      [(_ (b:binding ...) . body)
```

```
          #'((lambda (b.x ...) . body) b.e ...)]])))
```

A syntax class is an abstraction of a syntax pattern. The syntax class `binding` gives a name
to the repeated pattern fragment (`x:id e:expr`). The components of the fragment, `x` and `e`,
become attributes of the syntax class. When `b:binding` matches, `b` gets bound to the whole
binding pair, and `b.x` and `b.e` get bound to the variable name and expression, respectively.
Actually, all of them are bound to sequences, because of the ellipses.

Syntax classes can have multiple alternative patterns. Suppose we wanted to extend `mylet`
to allow a simple identifier as a binding, in which case it would get the value `#f`:

```
(mylet ([a 1] b [c 'foo]) ....)
```

Here's how the syntax class would change:

```
(module example-syntax scheme/base
  (require syntax/parse)
  (require (for-template scheme/base))
  (provide binding)
  (define-syntax-class binding
    #:attributes (x e)
    (pattern (x:id e:expr))
    (pattern x:id
             #:with e #''#f)))
```

The `(require`
`(for-template`
`scheme/base))` is
needed for the
`quote` expression.
If the syntax class
definition were a
local definition in
the same module,
the `for-template`
would be
unnecessary.

The second pattern matches unparenthesized identifiers. The `e` attribute is bound using a
`#:with` clause, which matches the pattern `e` against the syntax from evaluating `#'#f`.

Optional keyword arguments are supported via head patterns. Unlike normal patterns, which
match one term, head patterns can match a variable number of subterms in a list.

Suppose `mylet` accepted an optional `#:check` keyword with one argument, a procedure
that would be applied to every variable's value. Here's one way to write it (dropping the
named-let variant for simplicity):

```
(define-syntax (mylet stx)
  (syntax-parse stx
    [(_ (~optional (~seq #:check pred)) (b:binding ...) . body)
     #'((lambda (b.x ...)
          #,(if (attribute pred)
                #'(unless (and (pred b.x) ...) (error 'check))
                #'(void))
          . body)
        b.e ...)]))
```

An optional subpattern might not match, so attributes within an ~optional form might
not be bound to syntax. Such non-syntax-valued attributes may not be used within syntax

templates. The `attribute` special form is used to get the value of an attribute; if the attribute didn't get matched, the value is `#f`.

Here's another way write it, using `#:defaults` to give the `pred` attribute a default value:

```
(define-syntax (mylet stx)
  (syntax-parse stx
    [(_ (~optional (~seq #:check pred)
                   #:defaults ([pred #'(lambda (x) #t)]))
        (b:binding ...) . body)
     #'((lambda (b.x ...)
          (unless (and (pred b.x) ...) (error 'check))
          . body)
        b.e ...)]))
```

Programmers can also create abstractions over head patterns, using `define-splicing-syntax-class`. Here it is, rewritten to use multiple alternatives instead of ~optional:

```
(define-splicing-syntax-class optional-check
  #:attributes (pred)
  (pattern (~seq #:check pred))
  (pattern (~seq)
           #:with pred #'(lambda (x) #t)))
```

Note: When defining a splicing syntax class, remember to include ~seq in the pattern!

Here is the corresponding macro:

```
(define-syntax (mylet stx)
  (syntax-parse stx
    [(_ c:optional-check (b:binding ...) . body)
     #'((lambda (b.x ...)
          (unless (and (c.pred b.x) ...) (error 'check))
          . body)
        b.e ...)]))
```

The documentation in the following sections contains additional examples of `syntax/parse` features.

8.2   Parsing and classifying syntax

This section describes `syntax/parse`'s facilities for parsing and classifying syntax. These facilities use a common language of syntax patterns, which is described in detail in the next section, §8.3 "Syntax patterns".

48

8.2.1 Parsing syntax

Two parsing forms are provided: `syntax-parse` and `syntax-parser`.

```
(syntax-parse stx-expr parse-option ... clause ...+)

parse-option = #:context context-expr
             | #:literals (literal ...)
             | #:literal-sets (literal-set ...)
             | #:conventions (convention-id ...)

     literal = literal-id
             | (pattern-id literal-id)

 literal-set = literal-set-id
             | [literal-set-id #:at context-id]

      clause = (syntax-pattern pattern-directive ... expr ...+)

  stx-expr : syntax?
```

Evaluates `stx-expr`, which should produce a syntax object, and matches it against the
`clause`s in order. If some clause's pattern matches, its attributes are bound to the corresponding subterms of the syntax object and that clause's side conditions and `expr` is evaluated. The result is the result of `expr`.

If the syntax object fails to match any of the patterns (or all matches fail the corresponding clauses' side conditions), a syntax error is raised.

The following options are supported:

```
#:context context-expr

  context-expr : syntax?
```
> When present, `context-expr` is used in reporting parse failures; otherwise
> `stx-expr` is used.

> Examples:
> ```
>   > (syntax-parse #'(a b 3)
>       [(x:id ...) 'ok])
>   a: expected identifier at: 3
>   > (syntax-parse #'(a b 3)
>       #:context #'(lambda (a b 3) (+ a b))
>       [(x:id ...) 'ok])
> ```

lambda: expected identifier at: 3

`#:literals (`*`literal`* `...)`

`literal` `=` *`literal-id`*
      `|` `[`*`pattern-id literal-id`*`]`

The `#:literals` option specifies identifiers that should be treated as literals rather than pattern variables. An entry in the literals list has two components: the identifier used within the pattern to signify the positions to be matched (*`pattern-id`*), and the identifier expected to occur in those positions (*`literal-id`*). If the entry is a single identifier, that identifier is used for both purposes.

Unlike `syntax-case`, `syntax-parse` requires all literals to have a binding. To match identifiers by their symbolic names, consider using the ~`datum` pattern form instead.

`#:literal-sets (`*`literal-set`* `...)`

`literal-set` `=` *`literal-set-id`*
         `|` `[`*`literal-set-id`* `#:at` *`context-id`*`]`

Many literals can be declared at once via one or more literal sets, imported with the `#:literal-sets` option. The literal-set definition determines the literal identifiers to recognize and the names used in the patterns to recognize those literals.

`#:conventions (`*`conventions-id`* `...)`

Imports conventions that give default syntax classes to pattern variables that do not explicitly specify a syntax class.

Each clause consists of a syntax pattern, an optional sequence of pattern directives, and a non-empty sequence of body expressions.

`(syntax-parser` *`parse-option`* `...` *`clause`* `...+)`

Like `syntax-parse`, but produces a matching procedure. The procedure accepts a single argument, which should be a syntax object.

8.2.2 Classifying syntax

Syntax classes provide an abstraction mechanism for syntax patterns. Built-in syntax classes are supplied that recognize basic classes such as `identifier` and `keyword`. Programmers can compose basic syntax classes to build specifications of more complex syntax, such as

lists of distinct identifiers and formal arguments with keywords. Macros that manipulate the same syntactic structures can share syntax class definitions.

```
(define-syntax-class name-id stxclass-option ...
  stxclass-variant ...+)
(define-syntax-class (name-id arg-id ...) stxclass-option ...
  stxclass-variant ...+)

 stxclass-option = #:attributes (attr-arity-decl ...)
                 | #:description description-expr
                 | #:opaque
                 | #:literals (literal-entry ...)
                 | #:literal-sets (literal-set ...)
                 | #:conventions (convention-id ...)

 attr-arity-decl = attr-name-id
                 | (attr-name-id depth)

stxclass-variant = (pattern syntax-pattern pattern-directive ...)
```

Defines `name-id` as a *syntax class*, which encapsulates one or more single-term patterns.

When the `arg-id`s are present, they are bound as variables in the body. The body of the syntax-class definition contains a non-empty sequence of `pattern` variants.

The following options are supported:

```
#:attributes (attr-arity-decl ...)

attr-arity-decl = attr-id
                | (attr-id depth)
```

> Declares the attributes of the syntax class. An attribute arity declaration consists of the attribute name and optionally its ellipsis depth (zero if not explicitly specified).

> If the attributes are not explicitly listed, they are inferred as the set of all pattern variables occurring in every variant of the syntax class. Pattern variables that occur at different ellipsis depths are not included, nor are nested attributes from annotated pattern variables.

```
#:description description-expr
```

> The `description` argument is an expression (evaluated in a scope containing the syntax class's parameters) that should evaluate to a string. It is used in

error messages involving the syntax class. For example, if a term is rejected by the syntax class, an error of the form `"expected description"` may be synthesized.

If absent, the name of the syntax class is used instead.

`#:opaque`

Indicates that errors should not be reported with respect to the internal structure of the syntax class.

`#:literals (`*literal-entry*`)`

`#:literal-sets (`*literal-set* `...)`

`#:conventions (`*convention-id* `...)`

Declares the literals and conventions that apply to the syntax class's variant patterns and their immediate `#:with` clauses. Patterns occuring within subexpressions of the syntax class (for example, on the right-hand side of a `#:fail-when` clause) are not affected.

These options have the same meaning as in `syntax-parse`.

Each variant of a syntax class is specified as a separate `pattern`-form whose syntax pattern is a single-term pattern.

```
(define-splicing-syntax-class name-id stxclass-option ...
  stxclass-variant ...+)
(define-splicing-syntax-class (name-id arg-id ...) stxclass-option ...
  stxclass-variant ...+)
```

Defines *name-id* as a *splicing syntax class*, analogous to a syntax class but encapsulating head patterns rather than single-term patterns.

The options are the same as for `define-syntax-class`.

Each variant of a splicing syntax class is specified as a separate `pattern`-form whose syntax pattern is a head pattern.

`(pattern `*syntax-pattern* *pattern-directive* `...)`

Used to indicate a variant of a syntax class or splicing syntax class. The variant accepts syntax matching the given syntax pattern with the accompanying pattern directives.

When used within `define-syntax-class`, *syntax-pattern* should be a single-term pattern; within `define-splicing-syntax-class`, it should be a head pattern.

The attributes of the variant are the attributes of the pattern together with all attributes bound by `#:with` clauses, including nested attributes produced by syntax classes associated with the pattern variables.

8.2.3 Pattern directives

Both the parsing forms and syntax class definition forms support *pattern directives* for annotating syntax patterns and specifying side conditions. The grammar for pattern directives follows:

```
pattern-directive = #:declare pattern-id syntax-class-id
                  | #:declare pattern-id (syntax-class-id expr ...)
                  | #:with syntax-pattern expr
                  | #:attr attr-id expr
                  | #:fail-when condition-expr message-expr
                  | #:fail-unless condition-expr message-expr
                  | #:when condition-expr
```

`#:declare` *pvar-id syntax-class-id*

`#:declare` *pvar-id* (*syntax-class-id expr ...*)

> The first form is equivalent to using the *pvar-id*:*syntax-class-id* form in the pattern (but it is illegal to use both for the same pattern variable).
>
> The second form allows the use of parameterized syntax classes, which cannot be expressed using the "colon" notation. The *expr*s are evaluated outside the scope of any of the attribute bindings from pattern that the `#:declare` directive applies to.

`#:with` *syntax-pattern stx-expr*

> Evaluates the *stx-expr* in the context of all previous attribute bindings and matches it against the pattern. If the match succeeds, the pattern's attributes are added to environment for the evaluation of subsequent side conditions. If the `#:with` match fails, the matching process backtracks. Since a syntax object

may match a pattern in several ways, backtracking may cause the same clause
to be tried multiple times before the next clause is reached.

`#:attr` *attr-id* *expr*

Evaluates the *expr* in the context of all previous attribute bindings and binds it
to the attribute named by *attr-id*. The value of *expr* need not be syntax.

`#:fail-when` *condition-expr* *message-expr*

Evaluates the *condition-expr* in the context of all previous attribute bindings.
If the value is any true value (not `#f`), the matching process backtracks (with the
given message); otherwise, it continues. If the value of the condition expression
is a syntax object, it is indicated as the cause of the error.

`#:fail-unless` *condition-expr* *message-expr*

Like `#:fail-when` with the condition negated.

`#:when` *condition-expr*

Evaluates the *condition-expr* in the context of all previous attribute bindings.
If the value is `#f`, the matching process backtracks. In other words, `#:when` is
like `#:fail-unless` without the message argument.

8.2.4 Pattern variables and attributes

An *attribute* is a name bound by a syntax pattern. An attribute can be a pattern variable
itself, or it can be a nested attribute bound by an annotated pattern variable. The name of
a nested attribute is computed by concatenating the pattern variable name with the syntax
class's exported attribute's name, separated by a dot (see the example below).

Attribute names cannot be used directly as expressions; that is, attributes are not variables.
Instead, an attribute's value can be gotten using the `attribute` special form.

`(attribute` *attr-id*`)`

Returns the value associated with the attribute named *attr-id*. If *attr-id* is not bound as
an attribute, an error is raised.

The value of an attribute need not be syntax. Non-syntax-valued attributes can be used to
return a parsed representation of a subterm or the results of an analysis on the subterm. A
non-syntax-valued attribute should be bound using the `#:attr` directive or a ∼`bind` pattern.

Examples:
```
> (define-syntax-class table
    (pattern ((key value) ...)
             #:attr hash
                    (for/hash ([k (syntax->datum #'(key ...))]
                               [v (syntax->datum #'(value ...))])
                       (values k v))))
> (syntax-parse #'((a 1) (b 2) (c 3))
    [t:table
     (attribute t.hash)])
#hash((b. 2)                    (a. 1)                              (c. 3))
```

A syntax-valued attribute is an attribute whose value is a syntax object or a syntax list of the appropriate ellipsis depth. Syntax-valued attributes can be used within `syntax`, `quasisyntax`, etc as part of a syntax template. If a non-syntax-valued attribute is used in a syntax template, a runtime error is signalled.

Examples:
```
> (syntax-parse #'((a 1) (b 2) (c 3))
    [t:table
     #'(t.key ...)])
#<syntax:6:0 (a b c)>
> (syntax-parse #'((a 1) (b 2) (c 3))
    [t:table
     #'t.hash])
```
t.hash: attribute is bound to non-syntax value at: t.hash

Every attribute has an associated *ellipsis depth* that determines how it can be used in a syntax template (see the discussion of ellipses in `syntax`). For a pattern variable, the ellipsis depth is the number of ellipses the pattern variable "occurs under" in the pattern. For a nested attribute the depth is the sum of the pattern variable's depth and the depth of the attribute in the syntax class. Consider the following code:

```
(define-syntax-class quark
  (pattern (a b ...)))
(syntax-parse some-term
  [(x (y:quark ...) ... z:quark)
   some-code])
```

The syntax class `quark` exports two attributes: `a` at depth 0 and `b` at depth 1. The `syntax-parse` pattern has three pattern variables: `x` at depth 0, `y` at depth 2, and `z` at depth 0. Since `x` and `y` are annotated with the `quark` syntax class, the pattern also binds the following nested attributes: `y.a` at depth 2, `y.b` at depth 3, `z.a` at depth 0, and `z.b` at depth 1.

An attribute's ellipsis nesting depth is *not* a guarantee that its value has that level of list nesting. In particular, ∼or and ∼optional patterns may result in attributes with fewer than expected levels of list nesting.

Example:
```
> (syntax-parse #'(1 2 3)
    [(~or (x:id ...) _)
     (attribute x)])
#f
```

8.2.5 Inspection tools

The following special forms are for debugging syntax classes.

(syntax-class-attributes *syntax-class-id*)

Returns a list of the syntax class's attributes. Each attribute is listed by its name and ellipsis depth.

(syntax-class-parse *syntax-class-id stx-expr arg-expr ...*)

Runs the parser for the syntax class (parameterized by the *arg-expr*s) on the syntax object produced by *stx-expr*. On success, the result is a list of vectors representing the attribute bindings of the syntax class. Each vector contains the attribute name, depth, and associated value. On failure, the result is some internal representation of the failure.

8.3 Syntax patterns

The grammar of *syntax patterns* used by `syntax/parse` facilities is given in the following table. There are four main kinds of syntax pattern:

- single-term patterns, abbreviated `S-pattern`

- head patterns, abbreviated `H-pattern`

- ellipsis-head patterns, abbreviated `EH-pattern`

- action patterns, abbreviated `A-pattern`

A fifth kind, list patterns (abbreviated `L-pattern`), is a just a syntactically restricted subset of single-term patterns.

When a special form in this manual refers to `syntax-pattern` (eg, the description of the `syntax-parse` special form), it means specifically single-term pattern.

       S-pattern = *pvar-id*

```
                | pvar-id:syntax-class-id
                | literal-id
                | (~var^s- id)
                | (~var^s+ id syntax-class)
                | (~literal literal-id)
                | atomic-datum
                | (~datum datum)
                | (H-pattern . S-pattern)
                | (A-pattern . S-pattern)
                | ((~or^eh EH-pattern ...+) ... . S-pattern)
                | (EH-pattern ... . S-pattern)
                | (~and^s proper-S/A-pattern ...+)
                | (~or^s S-pattern ...+)
                | (~not S-pattern)
                | #(pattern-part ...)
                | #s(prefab-struct-key pattern-part ...)
                | (~rest S-pattern)
                | (~describe^s expr S-pattern)
                | A-pattern

 L-pattern = ()
                | (A-pattern . L-pattern)
                | (H-pattern . L-pattern)
                | ((~or^eh EH-pattern ...+) ... . L-pattern)
                | (EH-pattern ... . L-pattern)
                | (~rest L-pattern)

 H-pattern = pvar-id:splicing-syntax-class-id
                | (~var^h id splicing-syntax-class)
                | (~seq . L-pattern)
                | (~and^h proper-H/A-pattern ...+)
                | (~or^h H-pattern ...+)
                | (~optional^h H-pattern maybe-optional-option)
                | (~describe^h expr H-pattern)
                | proper-S-pattern

EH-pattern = (~once H-pattern once-option ...)
                | (~optional^eh H-pattern optional-option ...)
                | H-pattern

 A-pattern = ~!
                | (~bind [attr-id expr] ...)
                | (~fail maybe-fail-condition message-expr)
                | (~parse S-pattern stx-expr)
                | (~and^a A-pattern ...+)
```

57

$proper\text{-}S\text{-}pattern$ = a $S\text{-}pattern$ that is not a $A\text{-}pattern$

$proper\text{-}H\text{-}pattern$ = a $H\text{-}pattern$ that is not a $S\text{-}pattern$

The following pattern keywords can be used in multiple pattern variants:

$\sim$`var`

One of $\sim$var$^{\text{s-}}$, $\sim$var$^{\text{s+}}$, or $\sim$var$^{\text{h}}$.

$\sim$`and`

One of $\sim$and$^{\text{s}}$, $\sim$and$^{\text{h}}$, or $\sim$and$^{\text{a}}$:

- $\sim$and$^{\text{a}}$ if all of the conjuncts are action patterns
- $\sim$and$^{\text{h}}$ if any of the conjuncts is a proper head pattern
- $\sim$and$^{\text{s}}$ otherwise

$\sim$`or`

One of $\sim$or$^{\text{s}}$, $\sim$or$^{\text{h}}$), or $\sim$or$^{\text{eh}}$:

- $\sim$or$^{\text{eh}}$ if the pattern occurs directly before ellipses ($\ldots$)
- $\sim$or$^{\text{h}}$ if any of the disjuncts is a proper head pattern
- $\sim$or$^{\text{s}}$ otherwise

$\sim$`describe`

One of $\sim$describe$^{\text{s}}$ or $\sim$describe$^{\text{h}}$:

- $\sim$describe$^{\text{h}}$ if the subpattern is a proper head pattern
- $\sim$describe$^{\text{s}}$ otherwise

$\sim$`optional`

One of $\sim$optional$^{\text{h}}$ or $\sim$optional$^{\text{eh}}$:

- $\sim$optional$^{\text{eh}}$ if it is an immediate disjunct of a $\sim$or$^{\text{eh}}$ pattern

- $\sim$optional$^{\text{h}}$ otherwise

8.3.1 Single-term patterns

A *single-term pattern* (abbreviated `S-pattern`) is a pattern that describes a single term. These are like the traditional patterns used in `syntax-rules` and `syntax-case`, but with additional variants that make them more expressive.

"Single-term" does not mean "atomic"; a single-term pattern can have complex structure, and it can match terms that have many parts. For example, `(17 ...)` is a single-term pattern that matches any term that is a proper list of repeated `17` numerals.

A *proper single-term pattern* is one that is not an action pattern.

The *list patterns* (for "list pattern") are single-term patterns having a restricted structure that guarantees that they match only terms that are proper lists.

Here are the variants of single-term pattern:

`id`

An identifier can be either a pattern variable, an annotated pattern variable, or a literal:

- If `id` is the "pattern" name of an entry in the literals list, it is a literal pattern that behaves like (`∼literal id`).

  Examples:
  ```
  > (syntax-parse #'(define x 12)
      #:literals (define)
      [(define var:id body:expr) 'ok])
  ok
  > (syntax-parse #'(lambda x 12)
      #:literals (define)
      [(define var:id body:expr) 'ok])
  ```
  lambda: expected the literal identifier define at: lambda
  ```
  > (syntax-parse #'(define x 12)
      #:literals ([def define])
      [(def var:id body:expr) 'ok])
  ok
  > (syntax-parse #'(lambda x 12)
      #:literals ([def define])
      [(def var:id body:expr) 'ok])
  ```
  lambda: expected the literal identifier define at: lambda

- If `id` is of the form `pvar-id:syntax-class-id` (that is, two names joined by a colon character), it is an annotated pattern variable, and the pattern is equivalent to (∼var `pvar-id` `syntax-class-id`).

  Examples:

  ```
  > (syntax-parse #'a
      [var:id (syntax-e #'var)])
  a
  > (syntax-parse #'12
      [var:id (syntax-e #'var)])
  ```
  ?: expected identifier at: 12
  ```
  > (define-syntax-class two
      #:attributes (x y)
      (pattern (x y)))
  > (syntax-parse #'(a b)
      [t:two (syntax->datum #'(t t.x t.y))])
  ((a b) a b)
  > (syntax-parse #'(a b)
      [t
       #:declare t two
       (syntax->datum #'(t t.x t.y))])
  ((a b) a b)
  ```

- Otherwise, `id` is a pattern variable, and the pattern is equivalent to (∼var `id`).

(∼var `pvar-id`)

A *pattern variable*. If `pvar-id` has no syntax class (by `#:convention`), the pattern variable matches anything. The pattern variable is bound to the matched subterm, unless the pattern variable is the wildcard (`_`), in which case no binding occurs.

If `pvar-id` does have an associated syntax class, it behaves like an annotated pattern variable with the implicit syntax class inserted.

(∼var `pvar-id` `syntax-class`)

```
syntax-class = syntax-class-id
             | (syntax-class-id arg-expr ...)
```

An *annotated pattern variable*. The pattern matches only terms accepted by `syntax-class-id` (parameterized by the `arg-expr`s, if present).

In addition to binding `pvar-id`, an annotated pattern variable also binds *nested attributes* from the syntax class. The names of the nested attributes are formed by prefixing `pvar-id.` (that is, `pvar-id` followed by a "dot" character) to the name of the syntax class's attribute.

If *pvar-id* is _, no attributes are bound.

Examples:
```
> (syntax-parse #'a
    [(~var var id) (syntax-e #'var)])
a
> (syntax-parse #'12
    [(~var var id) (syntax-e #'var)])
```
?: expected identifier at: 12
```
> (define-syntax-class two
    #:attributes (x y)
    (pattern (x y)))
> (syntax-parse #'(a b)
    [(~var t two) (syntax->datum #'(t t.x t.y))])
((a b) a b)
> (define-syntax-class (nat-less-than n)
    (pattern x:nat #:when (< (syntax-e #'x) n)))
> (syntax-parse #'(1 2 3 4 5)
    [((~var small (nat-less-than 4)) ... large:nat ...)
     (list #'(small ...) #'(large ...))])
(#<syntax:16:0 (1 2 3)> #<syntax:16:0 (4 5)>)
```

(~literal *literal-id*)

A *literal* identifier pattern. Matches any identifier free-identifier=? to *literal-id*.

Examples:
```
> (syntax-parse #'(define x 12)
    [((~literal define) var:id body:expr) 'ok])
ok
> (syntax-parse #'(lambda x 12)
    [((~literal define) var:id body:expr) 'ok])
```
lambda: expected the literal identifier define at: lambda

---

atomic-datum

Numbers, strings, booleans, keywords, and the empty list match as literals.

Examples:
```
> (syntax-parse #'(a #:foo bar)
    [(x #:foo y) (syntax->datum #'y)])
bar
> (syntax-parse #'(a foo bar)
    [(x #:foo y) (syntax->datum #'y)])
```
a: expected the literal #:foo at: foo

61

(~datum `datum`)

> Matches syntax whose S-expression contents (obtained by `syntax->datum`) is `equal?` to the given `datum`.

> Examples:
> ```
> > (syntax-parse #'(a #:foo bar)
>       [(x (~datum #:foo) y) (syntax->datum #'y)])
> bar
> > (syntax-parse #'(a foo bar)
>       [(x (~datum #:foo) y) (syntax->datum #'y)])
> a: expected the literal #:foo at: foo
> ```

> The ~datum form is useful for recognizing identifiers symbolically, in contrast to the ~literal form, which recognizes them by binding.

> Examples:
> ```
> > (syntax-parse (let ([define 'something-
> else]) #'(define x y))
>       [((~datum define) var:id e:expr) 'yes]
>       [_ 'no])
> yes
> > (syntax-parse (let ([define 'something-
> else]) #'(define x y))
>       [((~literal define) var:id e:expr) 'yes]
>       [_ 'no])
> no
> ```

(`H-pattern` . `S-pattern`)

> Matches any term that can be decomposed into a list prefix matching `H-pattern` and a suffix matching `S-pattern`.

> Note that the pattern may match terms that are not even improper lists; if the head pattern can match a zero-length head, then the whole pattern matches whatever the tail pattern accepts.

> The first pattern can be a single-term pattern, in which case the whole pattern matches any pair whose first element matches the first pattern and whose rest matches the second.

> See head patterns for more information.

(`A-pattern` . `S-pattern`)

> Performs the actions specified by `A-pattern`, then matches any term that matches `S-pattern`.

Pragmatically, one can throw an action pattern into any list pattern. Thus, `(x y z)` is a pattern matching a list of three terms, and `(x y ~! z)` is a pattern matching a list of three terms, with a cut performed after the second one. In other words, action patterns "don't take up space."

See action patterns for more information.

`((~or EH-pattern ...+) ... . S-pattern)`

Matches any term that can be decomposed into a list head matching some number of repetitions of `EH-pattern` alternatives (subject to its repetition constraints) followed by a list tail matching `S-pattern`.

In other words, the whole pattern matches either the second pattern (which need not be a list) or a term whose head matches one of the alternatives of the first pattern and whose tail recursively matches the whole sequence pattern.

See ellipsis-head patterns for more information.

`(EH-pattern ... . S-pattern)`

The ~or-free variant of ellipses (...) pattern is equivalent to the ~or variant with just one alternative.

`(~and S/A-pattern ...)`

Matches any term that matches all of the subpatterns.

The subpatterns can contain a mixture of single-term patterns and action patterns, but must contain at least one single-term pattern.

Attributes bound in subpatterns are available to subsequent subpatterns. The whole pattern binds all of the subpatterns' attributes.

One use for ~and-patterns is preserving a whole term (including its lexical context, source location, etc) while also examining its structure. Syntax classes are useful for the same purpose, but ~and can be lighter weight.

Examples:
```
> (define-syntax (import stx)
    (raise-syntax-error #f "illegal use of import" stx))
> (define (check-imports stx) ....)
> (syntax-parse #'(m (import one two))
    #:literals (import)
    [(_ (~and import-clause (import i ...)))
     (let ([bad (check-imports
                  (syntax->list #'(i ...)))])
       (when bad
```

```
              (raise-syntax-error
               #f "bad import" #'import-clause bad))
            'ok)])
    ok
```

(∼or *S-pattern* ...)

Matches any term that matches one of the included patterns. The alternatives
are tried in order.

The whole pattern binds *all* of the subpatterns' attributes. An attribute that is
not bound by the "chosen" subpattern has a value of #f. The same attribute may
be bound by multiple subpatterns, and if it is bound by all of the subpatterns, it
is sure to have a value if the whole pattern matches.

Examples:

```
> (syntax-parse #'a
    [(∼or x:id (∼and x #f)) (syntax->datum #'x)])
a
> (syntax-parse #'#f
    [(∼or x:id (∼and x #f)) (syntax->datum #'x)])
#f
```

(∼not *S-pattern*)

Matches any term that does not match the subpattern. None of the subpattern's
attributes are bound outside of the ∼not-pattern.

Example:

```
> (syntax-parse #'(x y z => u v)
    #:literals (=>)
    [((∼and before (∼not =>)) ... => after ...)
     (list #'(before ...) #'(after ...))])
(#<syntax:30:0 (x y z)> #<syntax:30:0 (u v)>)
```

#(*pattern-part* ...)

Matches a term that is a vector whose elements, when considered as a list, match
the single-term pattern corresponding to (pattern-part ...).

Examples:

```
> (syntax-parse #'#(1 2 3)
    [#(x y z) (syntax->datum #'z)])
3
> (syntax-parse #'#(1 2 3)
    [#(x y ...) (syntax->datum #'(y ...))])
(2 3)
```

64

```
> (syntax-parse #'#(1 2 3)
    [#(x ~rest y) (syntax->datum #'y)])
(2 3)
```

`#s(prefab-struct-key pattern-part ...)`

Matches a term that is a prefab struct whose key is exactly the given key and whose sequence of fields, when considered as a list, match the single-term pattern corresponding to (`pattern-part ...`).

Examples:
```
> (syntax-parse #'#s(point 1 2 3)
    [#s(point x y z) 'ok])
ok
> (syntax-parse #'#s(point 1 2 3)
    [#s(point x y ...) (syntax->datum #'(y ...))])
(2 3)
> (syntax-parse #'#s(point 1 2 3)
    [#s(point x ~rest y) (syntax->datum #'y)])
(2 3)
```

`(~rest S-pattern)`

Matches just like `S-pattern`. The ~rest pattern form is useful in positions where improper ("dotted") lists are not allowed by the reader, such as vector and structure patterns (see above).

Examples:
```
> (syntax-parse #'(1 2 3)
    [(x ~rest y) (syntax->datum #'y)])
(2 3)
> (syntax-parse #'#(1 2 3)
    [#(x ~rest y) (syntax->datum #'y)])
(2 3)
```

`(~describe expr S-pattern)`

The ~describe pattern form annotates a pattern with a description, a string expression that is evaluated in the scope of all prior attribute bindings. If parsing the inner pattern fails, then the description is used to synthesize the error message.

A describe-pattern also affects backtracking in two ways:

- A cut (~!) within a describe-pattern only eliminates choice-points created within the describe-pattern.

- If a describe-pattern succeeds, then all choice points created within the describe-pattern are discarded, and a failure *after* the describe-pattern backtracks to a choice point *before* the describe-pattern, never one *within* it.

`A-pattern`

An action pattern is considered a single-term pattern when there is no ambiguity; it matches any term.

8.3.2 Head patterns

A *head pattern* (abbreviated `H-pattern`) is a pattern that describes some number of terms that occur at the head of some list (possibly an improper list). A head pattern's usefulness comes from being able to match heads of different lengths, such as optional forms like keyword arguments.

A *proper head pattern* is a head pattern that is not a single-term pattern.

Here are the variants of head pattern:

`pvar-id:splicing-syntax-class-id`

Equivalent to (∼var `pvar-id` `splicing-syntax-class-id`).

(∼var `pvar-id` `splicing-syntax-class`)

```
splicing-syntax-class = splicing-syntax-class-id
                      | (splicing-syntax-class-id arg-expr ...)
```

Pattern variable annotated with a splicing syntax class. Similar to a normal annotated pattern variable, except matches a head pattern.

(∼seq . `L-pattern`)

Matches a head whose elements, if put in a list, would match `L-pattern`.

Example:
```
> (syntax-parse #'(1 2 3 4)
    [((~seq 1 2 3) 4) 'ok])
  ok
```

See also the section on ellipsis-head patterns for more interesting examples of ∼seq.

`(∼and `*`H-pattern`*` ...)`

    Like the single-term pattern version of ∼and, but matches a term head instead.

    Example:
```
> (syntax-parse #'(#:a 1 #:b 2 3 4 5)
    [((∼and (∼seq (∼seq k:keyword e:expr) ...)
            (∼seq keyword-stuff ...))
      positional-stuff ...)
     (syntax->datum #'((k ...) (e ...) (keyword-
stuff ...)))])
  ((#:a #:b) (1 2) (#:a 1 #:b 2))
```

    The head pattern variant of ∼and requires that all of the subpatterns be proper head patterns (not single-term patterns). This is to prevent typos like the following, a variant of the previous example with the second ∼seq omitted:

    Examples:
```
> (syntax-parse #'(#:a 1 #:b 2 3 4 5)
    [((∼and (∼seq (∼seq k:keyword e:expr) ...)
            (keyword-stuff ...))
      positional-stuff ...)
     (syntax->datum #'((k ...) (e ...) (keyword-
stuff ...)))])
```
   syntax-parse: single-term pattern not allowed after head
   pattern at: (keyword-stuff ...)
```
  ; If the example above were allowed, it would be equiva-
lent to this:
> (syntax-parse #'(#:a 1 #:b 2 3 4 5)
    [((∼and (∼seq (∼seq k:keyword e:expr) ...)
            (∼seq (keyword-stuff ...)))
      positional-stuff ...)
     (syntax->datum #'((k ...) (e ...) (keyword-
stuff ...)))])
```
   ?: expected keyword at: 3

`(∼or `*`H-pattern`*` ...)`

    Like the single-term pattern version of ∼or, but matches a term head instead.

    Examples:
```
> (syntax-parse #'(m #:foo 2 a b c)
    [(_ (∼or (∼seq #:foo x) (∼seq)) y:id ...)
     (attribute x)])
#<syntax:43:0 2>
> (syntax-parse #'(m a b c)
    [(_ (∼or (∼seq #:foo x) (∼seq)) y:id ...)
```

```
         (attribute x)])
    #f
```

(~optional *H-pattern maybe-optional-option*)

maybe-optional-option =
                          | #:defaults ([*attr-id expr*] ...)

Matches either the given head subpattern or an empty head. If the #:defaults option is given, the subsequent attribute bindings are used if the subpattern does not match. The default attributes must be a subset of the subpattern's attributes.

Examples:
```
> (syntax-parse #'(m #:foo 2 a b c)
    [(_ (~optional (~seq #:foo x) #:defaults ([x #'#f])) y:id ...)
     (attribute x)])
#<syntax:45:0 2>
> (syntax-parse #'(m a b c)
    [(_ (~optional (~seq #:foo x) #:defaults ([x #'#f])) y:id ...)
     (attribute x)])
#<syntax:46:0 #f>
> (syntax-parse #'(m a b c)
    [(_ (~optional (~seq #:foo x)) y:id ...)
     (attribute x)])
#f
```

(~describe *expr H-pattern*)

Like the single-term pattern version of ~describe, but matches a head pattern instead.

S-pattern

Matches a head of one element, which must be a term matching *S-pattern*.

8.3.3 Ellipsis-head patterns

An *ellipsis-head pattern* (abbreviated *EH-pattern*) is pattern that describes some number of terms, like a head pattern, but may also place contraints on the number of times it occurs in a repetition. They are useful for matching keyword arguments where the keywords may come in any order.

Examples:

```
> (define parser1
    (syntax-parser
      [((~or (~once (~seq #:a x) #:name "#:a keyword")
             (~optional (~seq #:b y) #:name "#:b keyword")
             (~seq #:c z)) ...)
       'ok]))
> (parser1 #'(#:a 1))
ok
> (parser1 #'(#:b 2 #:c 3 #:c 25 #:a 'hi))
ok
> (parser1 #'(#:a 1 #:a 2))
?: too many occurrences of #:a keyword after 4 terms at:
(#:a 1 #:a 2)
```

The pattern requires exactly one occurrence of the `#:a` keyword and argument, at most one occurrence of the `#:b` keyword and argument, and any number of `#:c` keywords and arguments. The "pieces" can occur in any order.

Here are the variants of ellipsis-head pattern:

```
(~once H-pattern once-option ...)

once-option = #:name name-expr
            | #:too-few too-few-message-expr
            | #:too-many too-many-message-expr
```

Matches if the inner `H-pattern` matches. This pattern must be selected exactly once in the match of the entire repetition sequence.

If the pattern is not chosen in the repetition sequence, then an error is raised with a message, either `too-few-message-expr` or `"missing required occurrence of name-expr"`.

If the pattern is chosen more than once in the repetition sequence, then an error is raised with a message, either `too-many-message-expr` or `"too many occurrences of name-expr"`.

```
(~optional H-pattern optional-option ...)

optional-option = #:name name-expr
                | #:too-many too-many-message-expr
                | #:defaults ([attr-id expr] ...)
```

Matches if the inner `H-pattern` matches. This pattern may be used at most once in the match of the entire repetition.

If the pattern is chosen more than once in the repetition sequence, then an error is raised with a message, either `too-many-message-expr` or `"too many`

occurrences of `name-expr`".

If the `#:defaults` option is given, the following attribute bindings are used if the subpattern does not match at all in the sequence. The default attributes must be a subset of the subpattern's attributes.

8.3.4 Action patterns

An *action pattern* (abbreviated `A-pattern`) does not describe any syntax; rather, it has an effect such as the binding of attributes or the modification of the matching process.

The grammar describing where an action pattern may occur may look complicated, but the essence is this: "action patterns don't take up space." They can be freely added to a list pattern or inserted into an ~and pattern.

~!

The *cut* operator, written ~!, eliminates backtracking choice points and commits parsing to the current branch of the pattern it is exploring.

Common opportunities for cut-patterns come from recognizing special forms based on keywords. Consider the following expression:

```
> (syntax-parse #'(define-values a 123)
    #:literals (define-values define-syntaxes)
    [(define-values (x:id ...) e) 'define-values]
    [(define-syntaxes (x:id ...) e) 'define-syntaxes]
    [e 'expression])
expression
```

Given the ill-formed term (`define-values a 123`), the expression tries the first clause, fails to match `a` against the pattern (`x:id ...`), and then backtracks to the second clause and ultimately the third clause, producing the value `'expression`. But the term is not an expression; it is an ill-formed use of `define-values`! The proper way to write the `syntax-parse` expression follows:

```
> (syntax-parse #'(define-values a 123)
    #:literals (define-values define-syntaxes)
    [(define-values ~! (x:id ...) e) 'define-values]
    [(define-syntaxes ~! (x:id ...) e) 'define-syntaxes]
    [e 'expression])
```
define-values: bad syntax at: (define-values a 123)

Now, given the same term, `syntax-parse` tries the first clause, and since the keyword `define-values` matches, the cut-pattern commits to the current pattern, eliminating the choice points for the second and third clauses. So when the clause fails to match, the `syntax-parse` expression raises an error.

The effect of a ~! pattern is delimited by the nearest enclosing ~describe pattern. If there is no enclosing ~describe pattern but the cut occurs within a syntax class definition, then only choice points within the syntax class definition are discarded.

(~bind [*attr-id* *expr*] ...)

Evaluates the *expr*s and binds them to the given *attr-id*s as attributes.

(~fail *maybe-fail-condition* *message-expr*)

```
maybe-fail-condition =
                     | #:when  condition-expr
                     | #:unless  condition-expr
```

If the condition is absent, or if the #:when condition evaluates to a true value, or if the #:unless condition evaluates to #f, then the pattern fails with the given message.

Fail patterns can be used together with cut patterns to recognize specific ill-formed terms and address them with specially-created failure messages.

(~parse *S-pattern* *stx-expr*)

  stx-expr : syntax?

Evaluates *stx-expr* to a syntax object and matches it against *S-pattern*.

(~and *A-pattern* ...+)

Performs the actions of each *A-pattern*.

8.4   Literal sets and Conventions

Sometimes the same literals are recognized in a number of different places. The most common example is the literals for fully expanded programs, which are used in many analysis and transformation tools. Specifying literals individually is burdensome and error-prone. As a remedy, syntax/parse offers *literal sets*. A literal set is defined via define-literal-set and used via the #:literal-set option of syntax-parse.

(define-literal-set *name-id* (*literal* ...))

```
literal = literal-id
        | (pattern-id literal-id)
```

Defines `name` as a literal set. Each `literal` can have a separate `pattern-id` and `literal-id`. The `pattern-id` determines what identifiers in the pattern are treated as literals. The `literal-id` determines what identifiers the literal matches.

Examples:
```
> (define-literal-set def-litset
    (define-values define-syntaxes))
> (syntax-parse #'(define-syntaxes (x) 12)
    #:literal-sets (def-litset)
    [(define-values (x:id ...) e:expr) 'v]
    [(define-syntaxes (x:id ...) e:expr) 's])
s
```

```
(define-conventions name-id (id-pattern syntax-class) ...)

name-pattern = exact-id
             | name-rx

syntax-class = syntax-class-id
             | (syntax-class-id expr ...)
```

Defines *conventions* that supply default syntax classes for pattern variables. A pattern variable that has no explicit syntax class is checked against each `id-pattern`, and the first one that matches determines the syntax class for the pattern. If no `id-pattern` matches, then the pattern variable has no syntax class.

Examples:
```
> (define-conventions xyz-as-ids
    [x id] [y id] [z id])
```
context (lexical binding) expected 4 values, received 5
values: #<syntax parse-identifier1> #<syntax description>
() () #f
```
> (syntax-parse #'(a b c 1 2 3)
    #:conventions (xyz-as-ids)
    [(x ... n ...) (syntax->datum #'(x ...))])
```
syntax-parse: expected identifier defined as a conventions
at: xyz-as-ids
```
> (define-conventions xn-prefixes
    [#rx"^x" id]
    [#rx"^n" nat])
```
context (lexical binding) expected 4 values, received 5
values: #<syntax parse-identifier1> #<syntax description>
() () #f

```
> (syntax-parse #'(a b c 1 2 3)
    #:conventions (xn-prefixes)
    [(x0 x ... n0 n ...)
     (syntax->datum #'(x0 (x ...) n0 (n ...)))])
```
syntax-parse: expected identifier defined as a conventions
at: xn-prefixes

8.5   Library syntax classes and literal sets

8.5.1   Syntax classes

`expr`

Matches anything except a keyword literal (to distinguish expressions from the start of a keyword argument sequence). The term is not otherwise inspected, and no guarantee is made that the term is actually a valid expression.

`identifier`
`boolean`
`str`
`char`
`keyword`
`number`
`integer`
`exact-integer`
`exact-nonnegative-integer`
`exact-positive-integer`

Match syntax satisfying the corresponding predicates.

`id`

Alias for `identifier`.

`nat`

Alias for `exact-nonnegative-integer`.

(static *predicate description*)

Matches an identifier that is bound in the syntactic environment to static information (see

`syntax-local-value`) satisfying the given `predicate`. If the term does not match, the `description` argument is used to describe the expected syntax.

When used outside of the dynamic extent of a macro transformer (see `syntax-transforming?`), matching fails.

The attribute `value` contains the value the name is bound to.

`(atom-in-list `*`atoms description`*`)`

Matches a syntax object whose inner datum is `eqv?` to some atom in the given list.

Use `atom-in-list` instead of a literals list when recognizing identifier based on their symbolic names rather than their bindings.

8.5.2 Literal sets

`kernel-literals`

Literal set containing the identifiers for fully-expanded code (§1.2.3.1 "Fully Expanded Programs"). The set contains all of the forms listed by `kernel-form-identifier-list`, plus `module`, `#%plain-module-begin`, `#%require`, and `#%provide`.

Note that the literal-set uses the names `#%plain-lambda` and `#%plain-app`, not `lambda` and `#%app`.

Index

75